

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/927,773	POTTS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marjorie A. Moran	1631	

All Participants:

(1) Marjorie A. Moran.

(2) Barbara McClung.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 June 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

All pending

Claims discussed:

All pending

Prior art documents discussed:

KURNIK, TAMADA
Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SP/E Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner stated that the after-final amendment and arguments filed 5/19/04 had been fully considered and that the amendment would be entered. The amendment overcomes the rejections made under 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph. The examiner stated that the arguments with regard to the prior art were not convincing, therefore the rejection made under 35 USC 103 would be maintained. .